Securing a Long-term Future for Coral Reefs
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Rapid ocean warming as a result of climate change poses a key risk for coral reefs. Even if the goals of the Paris Climate Agreement are achieved, coral reefs are likely to decline by 70–90% relative to their current abundance by mid-century. Although alarming, coral communities that survive will play a key role in the regeneration of reefs by mid-to-late century. Here, we argue for a coordinated, global coral reef conservation strategy that is centred on 50 large (500 km²) regions that are the least vulnerable to climate change and which are positioned to facilitate future coral reef regeneration. The proposed strategy and actions should strengthen and expand existing conservation efforts for coral reefs as we face the long-term consequences of intensifying climate change.

Coral Reefs
Coral reefs provide habitat to over a million species as well as essential ecosystem services (e.g., food, coastal protection) to hundreds of millions of people throughout the tropics and subtropics [1,2]. Despite their importance, coral reefs are in rapid decline, with the rate accelerating for many coral reefs over the past decade (e.g., Great Barrier Reef, [3]). Human impacts such as fishing pressure, coastal development, and pollution are combining with rising ocean temperatures to push reefs increasingly into states typified by low coral abundance, reduced biodiversity, and degraded ecosystems services [1,2]. While all threats facing coral reefs need addressing, those associated with global ocean warming are the most serious, with the near total loss of coral reefs across the planet expected by midcentury under current greenhouse gas emission projections [3–5]. Within this context, reducing the impact of local threats has the potential to build much needed resilience for coral reefs as they face escalating threats from global climate change.

Paris to the Rescue
The United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) and its 21st Conference of the Parties (COP21) agreed to hold “the increase in the global average temperature to well below 2°C above preindustrial levels” [6]. To date, 180 of the 197 parties have ratified the Paris Agreement on climate change. This agreement is founded upon a scientifically based target under which relatively stable ocean conditions may be achieved by midcentury [4]. While current pledges to reduce emissions by the world’s nations fall short of what is required to achieve the goals of the Paris Agreement [7], there is considerable hope that the international community will continue to work together to ramp up the emission reduction ambitions of its member states over the coming years.

While the Paris Agreement was an impressive political achievement, average planetary surface temperature is expected to increase by another 0.5°C, putting further strain on already stressed natural and human systems. Under optimistic projections, the trend of increasing heat stress may render approximately 70–90% of the current distribution of coral

Highlights
Severe degradation of coral reefs in recent decades has been driven by a range of threatening processes including climate change. Ocean warming is expected to have further severe impacts on reefs unless global warming is restrained well below 2°C (the goals of the Paris Agreement).

Not all coral reefs are equally at risk from climate change, however, suggesting the potential for identifying reefs for conservation action that are less vulnerable to climate change and which may be best positioned for regenerating other degraded reefs in the future.

There is uncertainty in future conditions. Variance reduction methods from finance (e.g., modern portfolio theory) can be applied to conservation planning to identify a portfolio of coral reefs for which the risk of widespread failure across the portfolio is minimised.

Long-term, risk-sensitive planning in the context of the uncertainty of projected climate impacts complements existing conservation strategies.
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reef habitat unsuitable for most corals [8–10]. Failure to achieve the Paris Agreement, however, will see the near total loss of coral reefs for the foreseeable future [4]. Pressures from global climate change add to the pressures from local factors such as coastal development, pollution, and overfishing to seriously threaten the viability of coral reefs.

Here, we argue for a global, long-term strategy for protecting coral reefs that are both least vulnerable to climate change, and which are well positioned to facilitate the regeneration of many coral reefs later this century (Box 1 and Figure 1). Recognizing that the restoration of coral reefs may make sense at some scales of intervention [11–13], we argue that the future for coral reefs depends mainly on the success of these approaches being used together with large scale conservation initiatives (Box 2). To ensure that coral reefs persist beyond midcentury, strengthened conservation policies [14], innovative and expanded financing [15,16], and increased on-the-ground capacity will all be required [17].

A Glass 10–30% Full: Which Reefs Are Best for Regenerating Coral Reef Ecosystems?

Given limited resources, effective conservation policy requires both intervention and geographic prioritization [18,19]. Here, we describe a global strategy (see Figure 1 in Box 1) that focuses on identifying well-connected coral reefs that have the best chance of surviving projected climate change along a ‘well below 2°C’ pathway, as defined in the Paris Agreement (COP21 2015). Working under the assumption that the goals of the Paris Agreement will be achieved, these reefs (Figure 1) are likely to play important roles in facilitating the persistence of corals as global average temperature increases by another 0.5 °C, and the subsequent regeneration of coral reefs in the broader context as ocean temperatures stabilize. Thus, ensuring that the array of non-climate change related threats do not degrade or eliminate these reefs over this time period is of critical importance. The questions underpinning policy development then become: how does one objectively identify coral reefs that are relatively less vulnerable to climate change yet are better positioned to facilitate the regeneration of other reefs in the future? And, on the conservation side, where must we carry out actions that mitigate near-term threats (Box 2 and Figure 2), especially in the context of uncertainty?

Box 1. Using MPT to Inform Conservation

MPT [15] is a mathematical approach for building portfolios of assets that maximise the expected return for a given level of risk. The idea is built around the concept that the risk to an asset should be assessed in the context of the overall risk and return of all assets in a given portfolio. Variance-reduction methods are widely used in the financial sector, and MPT was part of the work for which Harry Markowitz received the 1990 Alfred Nobel Memorial Prize in Economic Sciences.

Conservation planning is also fundamentally concerned with investing limited resources to achieve conservation outcomes (returns) while minimising the risk of failure of those projects. In the case of coral reef conservation, uncertainty in projected long-term conservation outcomes is driven by variation in estimates of climate change impacts. MPT provides a risk-sensitive framework for conservation planning that explicitly accounts for the covariance in expected outcomes among planning units (investment opportunities).

Beyer et al. [20] applied MPT to the problem of selecting 50 coral reef areas at a global scale (Figure 1) that are among the least vulnerable to climate change impacts, and which have the potential to also foster regeneration in other areas via larval dispersal. There are often complex impacts of climate on biological systems that are difficult to capture with a small number of metrics. Beyer et al. used 174 metrics to quantify past and projected ocean warming impacts and risks of cyclone damage, as well as connectivity to other reefs, thereby ensuring that the solution is robust across a wide range of measures of climate change impact. They found substantial opportunity to reduce risk in the portfolio, while sacrificing only relatively small amounts of performance in expected conservation outcomes. MPT and other variance reduction methods provide important opportunities for improving long-term conservation planning to ensure it is more robust to uncertainty arising from climate change.
Figure I. Building a Global Portfolio for Coral Reef Conservation in a Time of Rapid Environmental Change.

(A) The problem is to identify a subset of sites (black dots) within which conservation actions will be focused. (B) There is, however, uncertainty in the expected future value of each site arising from climate change and other biophysical processes. Moreover, (C) some of the sites have correlated values that behave similarly in the context of climate change.
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Box 2. Accelerating Conservation Action within BCUs

Developing conservation plans within the selected BCUs begins with a participatory site assessment to identify actions that are likely to deliver conservation returns in the near to medium term. This assessment should be integrated into local planning processes and institutions where appropriate, but at minimum should provide: (i) threat assessment, (ii) Institutional capacity assessment, (iii) monitoring and evaluation (M&E), and (iv) policy development and implementation. Protocols for site assessments have been developed by a variety of sources (METT, NOAA, SocMon) [33–35].

Threat assessments are required for all locally relevant drivers, including, but not limited to: fisheries, coastal development, land-based pollution, and climate change. The development of solutions might include: expanding habitat conservation measures (e.g., marine protected areas, multi-use marine parks), regulating and rebuilding fisheries, reducing sedimentation and nutrient run-off, or rehabilitating coral reefs following extreme events such as storms and heat waves.

Institutional capacity assessments should evaluate locally appropriate institutions relevant to governance, financial resources, training and education, technical capacity for implementation of conservation measures, and available social and natural scientific information. This capacity assessment will uncover key pathways to deliver threat mitigation strategies, such as new financial mechanisms to improve erosion control in upland agriculture, capacity building programs for park or fisheries enforcement officers, community engagement to increase participation in reef governance, and technical training or data collection.

M&E systems are critical to the long-term adaptive management and tracking of changes both within and across BCUs. Initial participatory site assessments should identify existing M&E systems or build a baseline for future evaluations. In this regard, there are growing opportunities for technologies, from automated underwater vehicles, low-altitude drones, and remote sensing (coupled with AI) to strengthen and expend M&E capabilities within many BCU regions [36]. The combined assessment of threats, institutional capacity, and M&E should conclude with a gap and opportunity analysis that identifies key areas for local and global investment to secure the long-term viability of each BCU.

Policy development and implementation: facilitating the development of policy aimed at creating effective and lasting regulatory mechanisms is important for the long-term sustainability of coastal resources such as coral reefs. Alongside leadership and legislative gap analysis, policy development across the many countries involved has the potential to deliver benefits of scale and experience. Adaptive policy development is needed in response to the strong drivers of change likely to be experienced over the coming decades and century.

A recent study [20] applied modern portfolio theory (MPT, Box 1, [21]) to solve the problem of identifying a portfolio of reefs (Figure 1) that has a high probability, as a set, of surviving climate change while having a good capacity to repopulate other reefs over time. MPT is a mathematical approach for identifying an optimal portfolio of assets, such that the expected return on investments is maximized for a given level of risk. Up until recently, MPT has not been applied to spatial planning problems, and not at a global scale [22,23]. In the context of long-term conservation planning, risk arises from the substantial uncertainty in the projection of future climate conditions. By accounting for the covariance in conditions among sites, MPT facilitates the selection of a portfolio of sites or bioclimatic units (BCUs, Figure 1) that are likely to provide good return on investment, with a lower risk of catastrophic loss across the entire

d(1) while others are uncorrelated or even negatively correlated. (E) The covariance among sites can vary among different applications and contexts. The distribution may be dominated by uncorrelated or positively correlated values (red line), by positively correlated values (blue line), or a more even mix (black line). The objective is to select a portfolio of sites that maximises expected value (returns). But selecting sites that are correlated is risky, because if one performs poorly, many or all may also perform poorly. Modern portfolio theory provides a way of maximising returns while also reducing risk by accounting for covariances in the selection of sites. (F) The shape of the trade-off between risk and return will be determined by the return values and covariance among sites. If there are many positively correlated sites, this implies relatively few opportunities for selecting negatively correlated sites, and the potential for risk reduction is modest (blue line). Conversely, if there are many negatively correlated sites, the potential for risk reduction will be much stronger (red line). (G) The decision maker must decide what a reasonable balance is between risk and return (black dot), which corresponds to a specific selection of sites.
portfolio. MPT was used in this particular study to optimize the selection of a portfolio of 50 BCUs with respect to the reduced exposure of BCUs to thermal stress in the past and future (i.e., mass coral bleaching and mortality) and storm damage, while also having a high degree of larval connectivity to other coral reefs. The large size of the 50 BCUs (500 km²) reduces the inherent vulnerability associated with selecting small areas, while providing flexibility in the potential conservation interventions that could be implemented given differences in the range, scale, and the immediacy of threats (Figure 2).

Risk-sensitive, spatially explicit decision support tools like this can assist decision-makers in identifying objective and transparent solutions (and hence policy) for conservation problems. Importantly, decision support tools like MPT are designed to inform but not prescribe solutions. It is generally not possible to incorporate all dimensions of a problem into a single decision support tool in all but the simplest of problems. For example, some of the BCUs identified by Beyer and colleagues [20] occur in places where governance or local socio-economic conditions for improved conservation are not optimal. In other cases, highly valuable sites may also be too small to be prioritized in a global-scale analysis, but clearly warrant conservation action. Hence, the translation of a theoretically optimal portfolio to one that will be practical to implement requires adjustments based on local conditions and evidence.

**Selected Reefs; What Next?**

Innovative science, increased global awareness, political commitment, and resourcing of a global response to the threat that climate change poses to coral reefs are necessary but not sufficient to save coral reefs. Ultimately, the solution to ensure the survival of coral reefs also depends on the success of an array of management systems in place across the world. The 50

---

**Figure 1. A Global Coral Reef Conservation Portfolio.** Location of the 50 coral reef regions or bioclimatic units (BCUs) identified using a modern portfolio theory approach to balance expected conservation returns and risk of poor performance across the portfolio (Box 1). Reef symbol sizes have been exaggerated to improve visibility. The ‘Coral Triangle’ consists of locations primarily falling with the waters of Indonesia, the Philippines, Malaysia, Papua New Guinea, Solomon Islands, and East Timor. The Red Sea includes reefs falling primarily within the waters of Egypt, Sudan, Saudi Arabia, Eritrea, and Yemen. Further details and on-line resources around the portfolio of BCUs are provided by Beyer et al. [21].
large coral reef regions identified [20] (Figure 1) provide a diverse portfolio of reefs that hedges against future climate stress and that urgently requires investment in conservation interventions. Increased global support is needed to facilitate participatory multi-stakeholder reassessment of the conservation needs, socio-economic issues, and biodiversity values across the proposed portfolio (Box 2 and Figure 2). Of critical importance will be the reassessment of localized threats such as declining water quality, over-exploitation, habitat loss, invasive species, as well as the local exposure to increasing climate threats such as heat stress and intense storms.
Sustainable conservation requires that the full set of interactions between people, ecosystems, and economic systems be taken into account [22]. Strategies within each BCU might take on a variety of forms, depending on local circumstances (Figure 2). Actions might include reducing coastal pollution by encouraging sustainable farming practices [24,25], rebuilding fisheries through regulating access and implementing harvest controls [26], establishing protected areas [27], and/or diversifying reef economies with supplemental livelihoods (e.g., ecotourism, low-impact aquaculture, etc.) [28]. Long-term conservation solutions require the integration of the interests of governments, local communities, businesses and non-governmental organisations, and other stakeholders to strengthen the protection of these valuable areas, especially under the remaining climate stress before climate stabilization is achieved.

Transformative conservation among BCUs requires a coordinated scientific, policy, and local stakeholder response that reflects both short-term and long-term conservation and management goals (Figure 2). Each BCU will require varying amounts of increased financial, technical, and human resources according to the specific threats and local institutional capacity gaps. Though often highly localized, many threats to coral reefs are unfortunately ubiquitous: coastal and upland deforestation, untreated sewage and other point and non-point source pollution (i.e., agriculture), overharvesting, destructive fishing, and poorly planned coastal development [29]. While individual reefs need customized and locally appropriate conservation plans and management, the commonality of threats suggests continued opportunities for sharing experiences and technologies between BCUs and other regions that face similar challenges. The ongoing conservation of reefs also requires an adaptive approach to policy development and management that explicitly sets out to identify and resolve knowledge gaps in our understanding of coral reefs, the mechanisms by which stressors such as climate change impact corals, and linkages between social and ecological systems that drive these stressors.

While the central focus of Beyer et al. (2018) was to identify 50 coral reef regions (BCUs) with low vulnerability to climate change and high regeneration potential, the BCUs identified here also represent potential opportunities for developing and trialling novel adaptation technologies (e.g., assisted evolution [30]). These technologies may take years or decades to develop, hence the reduced ocean warming and storm risk may make these BCUs important as sources of robust coral stocks. The development of emerging technologies and methodologies [31] may provide important new opportunities for conservation. But many of these new technologies also come with significant risks, and hence it is essential that our scientific understanding of reef ecosystems be sufficient so that we avoid adverse outcomes that exacerbate rather than improve coral reef conservation outcomes. Substantial, long-term investment in research, as well as conservation and policy development, will be required to meet these questions and significant challenges.

The ultimate goal of the prioritization and strategy proposed here is to ensure that coral reefs continue to provide livelihoods, food security, and other key services for future generations, despite the expectation that these benefits may be greatly diminished in many places over the next few decades. In a rapidly changing climate, conservation planning requires a long-term perspective that accounts for projected changes in environmental conditions, which is a perspective that has sometimes been absent from previous planning exercises. In this regard, the strategy and portfolio of 50 reefs described here are intended to bolster and support, rather than compete with, the many excellent conservation efforts that are focused on coral reefs. This type of effort should be seen as a subset of efforts that may have slightly different short-term goals (e.g., preserving the greatest biodiversity or
maintaining sustainable fisheries and food security) or scales (iconic reefs less than 500 km²) but are likely to be complimentary in the longer term as the benefits and opportunity of networking and scale become apparent.

**Paving the Way for Coral Reef Conservation**

Prioritizing sites alone does not constitute a global strategy or policy in itself. However, it can increase global awareness, political commitment and inform strategic and innovative investment into the conservation of the world’s coral reefs. In order to scale up interventions across the portfolio of selected BCUs, a shift in the global response to the current decline of coral reefs is needed. A recent report by UN Environment demonstrates that even with recent increases, not nearly enough is spent from sources such as overseas aid to ensure the preservation of the world’s coral reefs [16]. The International Coral Reef Initiative has declared 2018 to be the third International Year of the Reef (IYOR), but membership and financing for this initiative requires a significant boost to achieve its agenda. The recent declaration of UN Sustainable Development Goal 14 (‘Life Below Water’), and its specific reference to threatened marine ecosystems is encouraging in terms of concern and action on coral reefs. Similarly, the ‘Coral Reef Life Declaration’ released at the October 2017 ‘Our Ocean’ conference in Malta provides political momentum for increased coral reef action. These calls for action can now leverage strategic conservation plans across the world. The portfolio approach [20] is likely to also help elevate the profile of the problems and solutions for coral reef conservation at global scales. International funding partnerships, including the Green Climate Fund, Global Environment Facility, and major Bilateral Aid agencies might consider re-evaluating the level of support they provide to coral reef conservation. Substantial increases in our combined and coordinated efforts are required to ensure that the world’s coral reefs will persist far into and beyond the Anthropocene [32].

Time is of essence when it comes to coral reefs. The third IYOR has been proclaimed, and is well underway in a year that started with devastating and unprecedented back-to-back mass coral bleaching and mortality across many countries [3]. More than ever, there is a need for global action and solutions that are effective and scalable, if we are to avoid the catastrophic loss and global decline of coral ecosystems. The solutions, however, are largely contingent upon the international community being able to deliver the goals of the Paris Climate Agreement. Emission reduction pledges [or nationally determined contributions (NDCs)] are central to the success or failure of the agreement, yet are currently inadequate and will, in their current form, drive global temperatures beyond 3°C above the preindustrial period if implemented [7]. Most analyses indicate that these conditions will remove coral reefs for a very long time, affecting hundreds of millions of people, and hence arguing for urgent action to secure greater greenhouse gas emission reductions through the Paris Climate Agreement and other national and subnational actions. Without effective action on climate change the future is clear: our earth will no longer be graced with one of the world’s most spectacular and important ecosystems. With urgent action, however, we have the opportunity to preserve coral reefs in a state where there is good chance that they will regenerate once again in a more stable ocean and climate.
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**Outstanding Questions**

How do we integrate human and ecosystem concerns within sustainable business models aimed at preserving coral reefs while also improving opportunities for the communities who depend on them?

Are there innovations in finance (e.g., blue carbon, green bonds, ecosystem insurance) that can be harnessed for creating feasible, affordable, and sustainable solutions to the degradation of coral reefs?

Are there opportunities for developing technologies that improve reef resilience, or speeding up reef recovery, once sites that are less vulnerable to climate change have been identified?
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